1	[Attorney list on signature page]		
2	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4	RAMBUS INC.,	*E-FILED - 10/4/07*	
5	Plaintiff, v.	<u> E-FILED - 10/4/07 - </u>	
6			
7	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC., HYNIX	Case No. C 05-00334 RMW	
8	SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING AMERICA INC.,	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER RE MANUFACTURERS'	
9	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	REQUEST TO EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMIT FOR THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-	
10	SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,	INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY OF THE FARMWALD PATENTS-IN-	
11	L.P.,	SUIT	
12	NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION		
13	U.S.A.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	RAMBUS INC.,		
16	,		
17	Plaintiff, v.		
18	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,	Case No. C 05-02298 RMW	
19	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,		
20	SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P.,		
21	Defendants.		
22	RAMBUS INC.,		
23	Plaintiff,		
24	v.	Case No. C 06-00244 RMW	
25	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28			

1 **STIPULATION** 2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective counsel, 3 that: 4 1. With respect to the motions for summary judgment dependent on claim construction 5 that are due to be filed on October 5, 2007 in the above-captioned matters, the Manufacturers (i.e. the 6 Hynix, Samsung, Nanya and Micron entities) may file a joint Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-7 infringement and Invalidity of the patents-in-suit that name Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz as 8 inventors (the "Farmwald Patents-in-Suit"), not to exceed forty (40) pages in length; and 9 2. Rambus may file an opposition to that Motion, not to exceed forty (40) pages in length; 10 and 11 3. The Manufacturers may file a reply to that Rambus opposition, not to exceed twenty-12 five (25) pages in length. 13 4. The Manufacturers will file no other motions for summary judgment due on October 5, 14 2007, regarding the Farmwald Patents-in-Suit. 15 5. This stipulation does not affect motions for summary judgment that the Manufacturers 16 may file on the patents-in-suit that name Frederick Ware et al. as inventors or motions for summary 17 judgment that Rambus may file. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1		Respectfully submitted,
2	DATED: September 21, 2007	GEOFFREY H. YOST
3		KENNETH L. NISSLY THELEN, REID, BROWN, RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
4		KENNETH R. O'ROURKE O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
5		THEODORE G. BROWN III TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
6		Drug /a/ The adams C. Durana III
7		By: /s/ Theodore G. Brown III Theodore G. Brown, III
8		Attorneys for Defendants
9		HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC.,
10		HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING AMERICA INC.
11		
12	DATED: September 21, 2007	GREGORY P. STONE STEVEN M. PERRY
13		PETER A. DETRE
14		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
15		PIERRE J. HUBERT McKOOL SMITH PC
16		
17		By: /s/ Peter A. Detre Peter A. Detre
18		Peter A. Detre Attorneys for Plaintiff
19		RAMBUS INC.
20	DATED: September 21, 2007	ROBERT FREITAS
21		CRAIG KAUFMAN VICKIE FEEMAN
22		ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
23		By: /s/ Craig Kaufman
24		Craig Kaufman
25		Attorneys for Defendants NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
26		NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION U.S.A.
27		
28		

Case 5:05-cv-00334-RMW Document 486 Filed 10/04/07 Page 4 of 4

DATED: September 21, 2007 MATTHEW POWERS DAVID HEALEY ANTIA KADALA WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: St. David Healey David Healey Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P. DATED: September 21, 2007 ROBERT J. BECHER QUINTED BORNOW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: St. Jured Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge Gill@S48 v1 Gill@S48 v1		I	
DAVID HEALEY ANITA KADALA WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ David Healey David Healey Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LP. DATED: September 21, 2007 ROBERT J. BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. By: Romaid M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 21 22 23 24	1	DATED: September 21, 2007	MATTHEW POWERS
By: /S/ David Healey Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, I.P. PATED: September 21, 2007 ROBERT J. BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. By: North Micron Micr		Diffild, septement 21, 2007	DAVID HEALEY
By: /s/ David Healey David Healey Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P. ROBERT J. BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jar			
Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P. PATED: September 21, 2007 ROBERT J, BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Romald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1 61160548 v1			Dry /s/ David Healey
Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LP. ROBERT J. BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: National M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1			David Healey
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCT			Attorneys for Defendants
SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P. DATED: September 21, 2007 ROBERT J. BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By:			ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG
DATED: September 21, 2007 ROBERT J. BECHER QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Romal M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1			
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP JARED BOBROW WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Romalam Uhyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1		DATED, Santamber 21, 2007	DODEDT I DECHED
By: Js/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Romald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 11 61160548 v1		DATED. September 21, 2007	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP
By: /s/ Jared Bobrow Jared Bobrow Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Romald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1 61160548 v1			
Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 11 61160548 v1 12 23 24			Dry /s/ Igned Pohnow
Attorneys for Defendants MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By:			Jared Bobrow
MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 11 22 33 24			Attorneys for Defendants MICPON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1 23 24			MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. By: Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1 23 24			
DATED: October 4, 2007 By: Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1 22 23 24		PURSUANT TO STIPLIL ATION IT IS SO ORDERED	
DATED: October 4, 2007 By: Konald M. Whyte Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 61160548 v1 22 23 24			
Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge 21 22 23 24		DATED: October 4, 2007	By: Konald M. Whyte
21 22 23 24			
22 23 24			
23 24		61160548 v1	
24			
25	25		
26			
27			
28	28		